

October 1 ALPR supporting submission

This supporting submission accompanies Laura Covell’s email concerning the October 1, 2026 ALPR study session. It contains the detailed requests, timeline, packet corrections, official comparison sources, Senate hearing sources, audit proposal requirements, and public records request. Read it together with the covering email and the October 1 Council action sheet. Please acknowledge receipt, circulate the submission to every councilmember, and include it with the public meeting materials. The requests supplement earlier submissions and preserve their original submission dates.

Contents

Start here: What needs a response?	2
What am I asking the Town to do?	3
Who will conduct the full audit, and what will it cover?	4
What happened, and when?	6
What do the additional search records show?	10
What needs to change in the October 1 packet?	13
What questions from the Senate hearing need answers here?	21
Which existing records am I requesting?	24
How should records be preserved and provided?	36
What needs a response before October 1?	38
Addendum: Accountability and audit independence	39

Start here: What needs a response?

This submission asks for 3 commitments. The complete evidence, audit scope, and existing records requests follow.

1. Release the records and correct the packet. Preserve and produce the complete available search and access logs from initial activation through the present, in both directions. Produce the agency sharing list, earlier versions, agreements, completed audits, operative contracts and renewal deadlines, and records supporting the 54 success stories and crime figures. Account for the money and authorizations, including opioid funds. Release available records while the rest are processed and identify what remains outstanding.
2. Commission the full independent audit. Obtain comparable proposals covering actual use, oversight, security, procurement, contracts, funding, and claimed results. Require complete records access, testing for missing records, disclosed methods and conflicts, and a public report with lawful redactions. If specialists or phases are needed, commit to the entire scope and publish the schedule and cost for every part.
3. Respond to the standstill and schedule a public decision. Address the requested pause on new cameras, capabilities, integrations, sharing arrangements, purchases, renewals, and amendments. Disclose existing obligations and notice deadlines. Add public participation to October 1 and schedule a properly noticed Council decision on ending the program, with public comment and a recorded vote.

For each request, identify the responsible official, what the Town will do, and when. If the Town declines, proposes a narrower response, or does not hold a requested record, explain that directly. The final section sets out requested response dates for September 28, 29, and 30, priority production, and a schedule for the remaining records.

Use the contents to find the relevant question. The numbered categories under “Which existing records am I requesting?” specify the records to produce. The questions elsewhere also ask for explanations, corrections, or action. The public records request seeks existing material; the independent audit is a separate request for work to be performed.

A search log records activity. An audit examines that activity and the supporting evidence. An agency sharing list identifies sharing relationships. Those are 3 different things, and I am requesting all 3.